Maritime Integrated Approach in the Mediterranean Area **CAIMANs Cruise and passenger ship Air quality Impact Mitigation ActioNs Present emission comparison** among the study areas Francesca Liguori, Silvia Pillon, ARPAV **UNIGE, AUTH, Air PACA, IDAEA** Mitigatinig air pollution in Mediterranean Port-Cities. The results of CAIMANs Project Venice, 12 June 2015





Programme cofinancé par le Fonds Européen de Développement Régional Programme cofinanced by the European Regional Development Fund







Macro & Micro air pollution estimation

[ARPAV code (Pillon, Elvini) mainly based on EMEP/EEA, 2013]

- macropollutants (NOx, CO, NMVOC, SO₂, PM10/PM2.5)
- micropollutants (Pb, Cd, Hg, As, Cr, Cu, Ni, Se, Zn, PCDD/F, HCB and PCB) by EMEP/EEA (2013) methodology based on two fuel classes (MDO/MGO and BFO) and ship gross tonnage
- CO₂ from fuel consumption by EMEP/EEA (2013) and IPCC (2006) emission factors
- Benzo(a)pirene: Cooper and Gustafsson (2004), EF bassi e Agrawall et al. (2010), EF elevati.

For present emissions (year 2013):

- Starting from the call registration db in the five harbour areas

For future scenarios:

- mid-term trend and development scenarios (year 2020 or 2025)
- Local or common mitigation hypothsis





EMEP/EEA air pollutant emission inventory guidebook 2013 approach

Bunker Fuel Oil (BFO) and Marine Diesel Oil/Marine Gas Oil (MDO/MGO) undistinguished





id Car Air PACA OUALITE DE L'AR



L'Europe en Méditerranee

Programme cofinancé par le Fonds. Européen de Développement Régional Programme cofinanced by the European Régional. Development Fund



The CAIMANs tool for bottom up ship emissions

ARPAV implemented a BottomUpHarbour program, written in fortran 90 language, that handles ASCII text input and output files [Pillon, Elvini]

> **BottomUpHarbo** ur Program

DB ship

movements

reading

Emission calculation





Guidebook

table

reading

omposite

Emission and

Fuel Factors

calculation

start







SOx Emission Factors – for current (2013) estimations

20*S kg/ton fuel, where S is the fuel sulphur content in mass %



Figure 4. The maximum fuel sulphur content (F₅, in mass %) for marine fuels allowed in EU territ and EU inland waterways given by Directives 1999/32 and 2005/33/EC.

BFO: 2.7% as Global avarage fuel sold MDO/MGO: 1% as upper limit

All passenger in territorial waters: 1.5% All ships at berth (hotelling phase): 0.1%

As set by directive 2005/33/EC



Figure 2. The maximum fuel sulphur content (Fs, in mass %) for marine fuels allowed globally and in Emission Control Areas (ECAs) given by IMO (years when different ECAs enter in force are shown) and the current ayerage Fs of HFO and MDO used by the global fleet (average fuel composition from Endresen et al., 2005)





e Développement Régiona mo collagead by the European Da-



NOx emissions – Ro-Pax vs Cruise ships

PACA

QUALITÉ DE L'AIR

arpav





PM (PM10/PM2.5) emissions – Ro-Pax vs Cruise ships









Programme colinanced by the European Regiona



The results of CAIMANs Project. Venice, 12th June 2015

SO₂ emissions – Ro-Pax vs Cruise ships







■Hotelling ■Manoeuvring







Programme colinancé par le Fonds Européen de Développement Régional Programme colinanced by the European Regional Development Fund

(hours)



Nickel emissions – Ro-Pax vs Cruise ships



60000

50000

20000

10000 0

(sunou) 30000









Programme colinancé par le Fonds-Européen de Développement Régional Programme colinanced by the European Régional Development Fund



BCN

Mitigating air pollution in Mediterranean Port-Cities. The results of CAIMANs Project. Venice, 12th June 2015

VE

THS

GE

■Hotelling ■Manoeuvring

MS

All metals emissions- Ro-Pax vs Cruise ships













Programme colinancé par le Fonds. Européen de Développement Régional Programme colinanced by the European Régional Development Fond



Organic micropollutant emissions – Ro-Pax *vs* Cruise ships







Programme colinancé par le Fonds. Européen de Développement Régional Programme colinanced by the European Régiona Development Fund



Focus on cruise ships – Macropollutant emissions



16,000 14,000 12.000 ŝ 10,000 Fotal time (hour 8,000 6,000 4,000 2,000 0 Barcelona Genoa Marseilles Venice Thessaloniki Hotelling Manoeuvring

manoeuvring phase in 2013 140 450 400 PM10/PM2.5 and SO_2 annual emissions 120 350 B 100 300 g 80 250 (Mg) 200 60 6 150 ਙ 40 100 Š 20 50 0 0 Barcelona Genoa Marseilles Venice Thessaloniki ■PM10/PM2.5 ■SO2 ■NOx

Macropollutant emissions by cruise ships







Programme colinancé par le Fonds Européen de Développement Régional Programme colinanced by the European Régiona Development Fund



Conclusions of the emission estimation step

First step of the Air Quality Impact Assessment: input for the air dispersion models.

High detailed information on passenger ship emissions hour by hour for the whole year 2013 based



on the passenger ships movements recorded in each harbours.

Comparison among the 5 harbours of yearly emissions of ro-pax and cruise ships.

Comparison within each harbour of ro-pax emissions vs cruise ship emissions.

Focus of cruise ships: Effect of different size (tonnage) on the emissios Effect of the Blue Flag 2 Agreement in Venice for SO₂ and PM emissions in manoeuvring phase.



CAIMANs Cruise and passenger ship Air quality Impact Mitigation ActioNs

Priority axis 2 Environment Objective 3: Prevention of maritime risks and strengthening of maritime safety

http://www.medmaritimeprojects.eu/section/caimans

<u>Lead Partner</u>: Environmental Protection Agency of Veneto Region ARPAV – Padoa (IT) <u>www.arpa.veneto.it</u>

Partners:

University of Genoa, Department of Physics (IT) <u>www.labfisa.ge.infn.it</u> Aristotle University of Thessaloniki (GR) <u>http://lap.physics.auth.gr</u> AIR PACA – Air quality observatory (FR) <u>http://airpaca.org/</u> Spanish Research Council - Institute of Environmental Assessment & Water Research IDAEA (ES) <u>http://www.idaea.csic.es/</u>

